

### **The Value of Valuation**

#### *The Political Economy of Investments in Forest Monitoring and Protection*

Richard Tipper, Executive Chairman, Ecometrica Dan van der Horst, Reader in Environment & Society, University of Edinburgh Tim Kelly, Environmental Consultant, Mott MacDonald



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH







### Introduction

Forests 2020 is a £14 million UKSA investment to help Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, Ghana, Kenya and Indonesia improve forest monitoring at national and regional scales.

Our theory of change indicates that countries will only sustain monitoring systems if they value the protection and governance of forests.

This study is the first step in understanding how / whether acknowledged forest value informs the resourcing of forest monitoring: *how much should a country pay to monitor its forests?* 

Richard Tipper Executive Chairman, Ecometrica





# To value or not to value, that's the (academic) question?

#### Let's do valuation:

- Valuation is a growth industry?
- What gets measured gets managed?
- Valuation helps to protect nature?

Don't do valuation:

- Valuation is inaccurate
- Valuation is immoral? (nature is priceless)
- Valuation is bad for nature (bought & sold)?

2020

### Wider questions about valuation

- 1. Valuation methods; scope for academic novelty?
- 2. Valuation as a useful tool for the private sector?
- 3. Valuation as a 'narrative device'; for whom, by whom, how effective?
- 4. Political economy; funding sources, growing community of practice, influence and legacy of valuation work?
- 5. Political ecology; what is/is not valued? How is valuation used by powerful (global) actors?



## **Context of Forest Valuation Review**

Growth in non-market valuation studies, despite resistance by some on moral & methodological grounds. But what actual impacts have they had on policy?

**Question of political economy**: (how) have valuation studies been used to inform resource allocation for forest monitoring and protection efforts?

Two pronged approach;

- Identifying prominent generic and country-specific studies that estimate the monetary value of forests and 'followed them'; referenced in policy documents?
- Mapping state policies (text) and funding (\$) to protect or manage forests, indicating a recognition of the value of standing forests. Trends? Associations with valuation?

**Project context: (Forests 2020; UK Space Agency)** earth observation to monitor tropical forests in 6 countries. (where we come in:) How to sustain the monitoring post-project?



# **Collation of Valuation Studies, Mexico**

Author	Year	Funding	Goods and Services	Area	Total Value
Adger et al.	1995	CSERGE	carbon storage, watershedNational, forprotection, NTFPs, tourism, optionecosystemsand existence values		US\$4 bill/yr
Barbier et al.	1998	EPOMEX short course	nursery service for shrimp harvest	nursery service for shrimp harvest Campeche, L mangroves	
Martínez et al.	2009	CONACYT	water supply, recreation	water supply, recreation Veracruz, montane L cloud forest	
Bezaury-Creel et al.	2009	TNC and CONANP	carbon storage, water supply, tourism	National, protected areas	US\$3.4 bill/yr
Perez-Verdin et al.	2011	CONACYT, Instituto Poltécnico Nacional	preservation of watershed, secure water supply	Avg. of multiple studies	US\$73/month (WTP)
Torres et al.	2013	Darwin Initiative, CONACYT, SEP	ejido willingness to participate in forest PES scheme	Bosque de la Primavera, Jalisco	US\$144.92/ha/yr
Camacho- Valdez et al.	2013	CONACYT	meta-analysis of 418 values across 186 wetland sites, including 11 ecosystem services	Sinaloa, coastal wetlands	US\$1 bill/year



State Actions to Manage and Protect Forests, Mexico					
State Action	Natural Protected Areas	Natural Capital Accounting	Payment for Ecosystem Services	REDD+ Programmes	
Dedicated Institution	CONANP	INEGI	CONAFOR	CONAFOR	
Context for Development	Domestic Political Agenda	International Collaboration + Discreet Valuation Study	Domestic Political Agenda	International Collaboration	
Allocated State Budget, Frequency	Annual, Consistent	Annual, Consistent	Annual, Project-Based	One-Off, Project Based	
Monitoring System	SINAP (with support from SNIB)	INFyS + national economic accounts	Annual Sample Monitoring	Developing SNMRV (intended to be Wall- to-Wall)	
Evidence Collected	Count, type, and total area of NPAs	Disaggregated environmental costs of degradation + Environmental protection expenditure	Compliance with areas mandated to remain forested/reforested	Carbon stock of forest estate + reduced emissions given baseline (intended)	



mu l

### **Overview of State Actions**

Country	Nat'l Protected Areas	NCA <sup>1</sup>	PES <sup>2</sup>	REDD+ <sup>3</sup>	FLEGT <sup>4</sup>	Nat'l Valuation
Mexico	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Brazil	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Colombia	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Indonesia	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Kenya	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Ghana	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

Enres

2020

1. Natural Capital Accounting

- 2. Payment for Ecosystem Services
- 3. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation
- 4. Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade Action Plan



# Pathway through Natural Capital Accounting

Mexico		
<ul><li>NCA Codified into Law</li><li>LGEEPA 1988</li><li>Environmentally</li></ul>	Valuation ExercisesPolitical Agenda• UN SEEA 1991• NDP 2013-201• Adger et al. 1995,• NDP 2013-201	
adjusted GDP	TEV of Forests	
Colombia		
<ul> <li>NCA Codified into Law</li> <li>Law 99 of 1993</li> <li>Incorporation of environmental instruments</li> </ul>	Valuation ExercisesPolitical Agenda• La Niña 2011• PND 2014-201• WAVES• PNGIBSE 2012• El Niño 2015• Water Fee Adjust	18 • Green Growth
Kenya		
Valuation Exercises	NCA in National Policy Political Agen	da Sector Targets
<ul> <li>Nature's Benefits in Kenya 2007</li> <li>Kenya Water Tower ES Valuation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Kenya Vision 2030</li> <li>Kenya Water Tower Agency (est. 2012)</li> <li>Medium-Ter</li> <li>Draft Nation Forest Policy</li> </ul>	Program 2016-30
Лик		<b>Eorests</b> 2020

# Pathway through Sustainable Supply-Chains

Indonesia				
External Driver • REDD+ • FLEGT Licensing • RSPO • Int'l Attention on Defe	One Map     FLEGT Ref	et with resistance Initiative form of Legality	gricultural Intensification Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil Standard First to issue FLEGT license Peatland Restoration Agency	
Ghana				
External Driver • REDD+ • FLEGT Licensing	develop R	vate Partnerships to EDD+ Cocoa tation Program	gricultural Intensification Climate Smart Cocoa Program Artisanal Mills	
Brazil				
<ul> <li>External Driver</li> <li>Int'l Attention on Amazon Deforestation</li> <li>Soy Moratorium</li> </ul>	State Action • PPCDAm • Amazon Fund • Amazon deforestat rates drop	State Action • PPCerrado • Forest Code 20: ion	<ul> <li>NCA Opportunity</li> <li>Costs/Benefits of implementing CAR</li> <li>National TEEB Study</li> </ul>	
UK			Fores	<b>ts</b> 20:

### The Added Value of Valuation

#### **General Trends**

- Discrete valuation efforts have primarily been used to advocate for increasing protected area resources, but causal evidence of influence is very weak.
- Countries approach a similar set of state-led actions in diverse ways, highlighting the (political) variation in how each country values the evidence of valuation studies
- Natural Capital Accounting (once established) DOES inform national policy change. Specific valuation studies are associated with NCA capabilities.
- Where external forces are singular and strong (key commodity for the global market, threat of sustainability certification), countries may react by protecting and prioritising agricultural commodity production

*Next (consultative) phase: co-production of country-specific pathways to impact monitoring efforts (value of & funding for forest monitoring and protection)* 





# **Thank You!**

Richard Tipper richard.tipper@ecometrica.com

Tim Kelly Tim.Kelly@MottMac.com

Dan van der Horst Dan.vanderHorst@ed.ac.uk







# References

Adger, W.N., Brown, K., Cervigni, R. and Moran, D., 1995. Towards estimating total economic value of forests in Mexico. *Centre for Social and Economic Research on the Global Environment, University of East Anglia and University College London*. Working Paper 94-21.

Barbier, E.B. and Strand, I., 1998. Valuing mangrove-fishery linkages—A case study of Campeche, Mexico. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, *12*(2), pp.151-166.

Bezaury-Creel, J., and Pabon-Zamora, L., 2009. El valor de los bienes y servicios que las áreas naturales protegidas proveen a los mexicanos. *The Nature Conservancy*. [Available at: https://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/mexico/explore/valoracionestudio-completo.pdf]

Camacho-Valdez, V., Ruiz-Luna, A., Ghermandi, A. and Nunes, P.A., 2013. Valuation of ecosystem services provided by coastal wetlands in northwest Mexico. *Ocean & coastal management, 78*, pp.1-11.

Laurans, Y., Rankovic, A., Billé, R., Pirard, R. and Mermet, L., 2013. Use of ecosystem services economic valuation for decision making: Questioning a literature blindspot. *Journal of environmental management*, *119*, pp.208-219.

Martínez, M.L., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Vázquez, G., Castillo-Campos, G., García-Franco, J., Mehltreter, K., Equihua, M. and Landgrave, R., 2009. Effects of land use change on biodiversity and ecosystem services in tropical montane cloud forests of Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *258*(9), pp.1856-1863.

Ninan, K.N. and Inoue, M., 2013. Valuing forest ecosystem services: what we know and what we don't. *Ecological Economics*, *93*, pp.137-149.

Perez-Verdin, G., Navar-Chaidez, J., Kim, Y.S. and Silva-Flores, R., 2011. Valuing watershed services in mexico's temperate forests. *Modern Econ*, 2(05), pp.769-779.

Torres, A.B., MacMillan, D.C., Skutsch, M. and Lovett, J.C., 2013. Payments for ecosystem services and rural development: Landowners' preferences and potential participation in western Mexico. *Ecosystem Services*, 6, pp.72-81.

